



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/814,494

03/31/2004

Peter D. Gunn

030048122US

6456

64066 7590 11/17/2008
PERKINS COIE LLP (BOEING)
P.O. BOX 1247
PATENT - SEA
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247

EXAMINER

AMIN, BHAVESH V

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3664

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/17/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/814,494	Applicant(s) GUNN ET AL.	
	Examiner BHAVESH AMIN	Art Unit 3664	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BHAVESH AMIN. (3) James Sfekas.

(2) John Wechkin. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Barnett US Patent 5,416,705.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant described their invention and pointed out differences between art of record and invention. No agreement was reached and applicant was advised that arguments could be submitted and would be looked at. Applicant was also informed of how office reads claimed invention, and would also considered supposed amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Bhavesh Amin/ Examiner, Art Unit 3664 (11/10/2008)	/KHOI TRAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3664
--	---